Case 3:16-cv-00624-SI Document 65 Filed 04/14/16 Page 1 of 5

1 2	Bruce J. Zabarauskas, SBN. 248601 THOMPSON & KNIGHT LLP 707 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 4100	Richard L. Seabolt (SBN 67469) DUANE MORRIS LLP One Market Plaza, Suite 2200	
2	Los Angeles, California 90017	San Francisco, CA 94105	
3	Telephone: (310) 203-6902 Facsimile: (310) 203-6980	Telephone: 415.957.3212 Facsimile: 415.354.3317	
4	Email: bruce.zabarauskas@tklaw.com	Facsilille. 413.334.3317	
5	Justin S. Cohen (pro hac vice) Richard L. Wynne, Jr. (pro hac vice)	L. Norwood Jameson (admitted pro hac vice) Matthew S. Yungwirth (admitted pro hac vice) S. Neil Anderson (admitted pro hac vice)	
6	THOMPSON & KNIGHT LLP 1722 Routh Street, Suite 1500	DUANE MORRIS LLP	
7	Dallas, Texas 75201	1075 Peachtree Street, Suite 2000 Atlanta, Georgia 30309	
	Telephone: (214)969-1700 Facsimile: (214)969-1751	Telephone: 404.253.6900	
8	Email: justin.cohen@tklaw.com	Facsimile: 404.253.6901	
9	Email: richard.wynne@tklaw.com	Arvind Jairam (admitted pro hac vice)	
	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	DUANE MORRIS LLP	
10		505 9th Street, N.W., Suite 1000 Washington, DC 20004	
11		Telephone: 202.776.5252	
12		Facsimile: 202.403.3665	
13		E-Mail: rlseabolt@duanemorris.com E-Mail: wjameson@duanemorris.com	
14		E-Mail: msyungwirth@duanemorris.com E-Mail: ajairam@duanemorris.com	
15		E-Mail: snanderson@duanemorris.com	
		Attorneys for Defendant	
16		NETGEAR, Inc.	
17			
18	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT	
	NORTHERN DISTI	RICT OF CALIFORNIA	
19	SAN FRANC	SISCO DIVISION	
20		1	
21	CHRIMAR SYSTEMS, INC., et al.,	G N 2-16 00624 SI	
	Plaintiffs,	Case No. 3:16-cv-00624-SI	
22	Tantins,		
23	VS.	STIPULATION AND [Proposed] Order to	
24	NETGEAR, INC.,	RESCHEDULE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE	
25	Defendant.		
26			
27	La consideration of the Charles of Control of the Charles of Charl		
28	In accordance with Civil Local Rules 6-2 and 7-12, Plaintiffs Chrimar Systems, Inc. and		
20	-1-		
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO RESCHEDULE CMO		

FIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO RESCHEDULE CMC CASE NO. 3:16-CV-00624-SI

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Chrimar Holding Company (collectively, "Chrimar") and Defendant NETGEAR, Inc. ("NETGEAR"), by and through their respective counsel, hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

On July 1, 2015, Chrimar filed suit against various defendants in the Eastern District of Texas alleging infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 8,155,012, 8,942,107, 8,902,760, and 9,019,838 (collectively, the "Patents-in-Suit").

Four of the cases have been transferred to the Northern District of California, and are presently before this Court: *Chrimar Systems, Inc. et al. v. Juniper Networks, Inc.*, Case No. 3:16-cv-00558-SI (N.D. Cal.); *Chrimar Systems, Inc. et al. v. Ruckus Wireless, Inc.*, Case No. 3:16-cv-186-SI (N.D. Cal.); *Chrimar Systems, Inc. et al. v. NETGEAR, Inc.*, Case No. 3:16-cv-624-SI (N.D. Cal.); *Chrimar Systems, Inc. et al. v. Fortinet, Inc.*, Case No. 3:16-cv-00897-SI (N.D. Cal.) (collectively, the "N.D. Cal. Chrimar Cases").

On March 24, 2016, the Court entered STIPULATION AND ORDER TO RESCHEDULE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE [Dkt. No. 60], setting the Case Management Conference ("CMC") for the four transferred cases to April 22, 2016.

Chrimar's lead counsel has developed a conflict with the April 22, 2016 CMC setting. In particular, in related litigation currently pending in the Eastern District of Texas, Chrimar is subject to an April 25, 2016, deadline for the close of fact discovery. At the time it submitted the previous stipulation setting the CMC for April 22, Chrimar believed that the depositions in the Texas case could be scheduled so as to not interfere with the CMC. Since that time, however, Chrimar has learned that because of witness availability issues, two depositions in the Texas case must proceed on April 22 in Dallas, Texas. Because of those depositions, Chrimar's lead counsel, Justin Cohen, and associate counsel, Richard Wynne, are unavailable to attend the CMC on that date.

While mindful of this Court's scheduling, rather that requesting leave to proceed with the CMC without the presence of lead counsel, Chrimar contacted the Defendants in each of the N.D. Cal. Chrimar Cases to inquire about rescheduling the CMC. Counsel for the parties in all of the N.D. Cal. Chrimar Cases have conferred and are agreeable to continuing the CMC until a date when Chrimar's lead counsel is available.

Case 3:16-cv-00624-SI Document 65 Filed 04/14/16 Page 3 of 5

1	Having discussed the matter, the parties in all N.D. Cal. Chrimar Cases are available for a		
2	CMC on May 13, 2016. Accordingly, if the Court's schedule permits, counsel for the parties in		
3	this action have agreed to reschedule the CMC currently set for April 22, 2016, to May 13, 2016,		
4	at 2:30 p.m.		
5	Because the Court has not ente	ered a Scheduling Order in any of the four N.D. Cal.	
6	Chrimar Cases, the requested time modification will have no effect on the schedule for this or any		
7	of the cases.		
8	IT IS HEREBY AGREED AND STIPULATED, that the CMC in this case shall be		
9	rescheduled to May 13, 2016, at 2:30 p.m. and the related deadlines for filing a joint CMC		
10	statement is adjusted to May 6, 2016. Further, the parties shall file either a Stipulation to ADR		
11	Process or Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference not later than April 22, 2016.		
12			
13	Respectfully submitted,	Respectfully submitted,	
14	/s/ Richard L. Wynne, Jr. Richard L. Wynne, Jr.	/s/ Matthew S. Yungwirth w/perm. R. Wynne Matthew S. Yungwirth	
15	Thompson & Knight LLP	Duane Morris LLP	
16	Counsel for Plaintiffs	Counsel for Defendant NETGEAR, Inc.	
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28		-3-	
		NAME OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR	

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** Case No. 3:16-cv-00624-SI 2 3 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 4 I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 5 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 707 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 4100, Los Angeles, CA 90017. On April 12, 2016 I served documents described as follows: 6 Stipulation and [Proposed] Order to Reschedule Case Management Conference 7 8 I served the document listed above on the interested parties below, using the following 9 means: 10 [X] (By Court's CM/ECF System) Pursuant to Local Rule, I electronically filed the documents with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which 11 sent notification of that filing to the persons listed on the CM/ECF service list. 12 I declare under penalty of perjury under 28 U.S.C. § 1746 that the foregoing is true and 13 correct. 14 Executed on April 12, 2016, at Los Angeles, California 15 16 /s/ Bruce J. Zabarauskas Bruce J. Zabarauskas 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO RESCHEDULE CMC

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: 4/13, 2016 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE -5-STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO RESCHEDULE CMC